IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

FRIDAY, THE 13TH JULY 2007 / 22ND ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 21652 of 2007(C)

PETITIONER:

DR.P.P.MOHAMMED, AGED 50 YEARS,
S/O.EASAKUTTY, REGISTRAR, UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT,
CALICUT UNIVERSITY P.O., MALAPPURAM DISTRICT,
RESIDING AT B1 QUARTERS, CALICUT UNIVERSITY P.O.,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.K.IBRAHIM
SRI.THOMSTINE K.AUGUSTINE

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR, CALICUT UNIVERSITY CAMPUS, THENHIPPALAM, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

2. THE VICE-CHANCELLOR, UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT,CALICUT UNIVERSITY CAMPUS, THENHIPPALAM, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

3. THE SYNDICATE, REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN, UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT,CALICUT UNIVERSITY CAMPUS, THENHIPPALAM, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY STANDING COUSNEL SRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 13/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K. Basheer, J.

- -

W.P(C) No. 21652 of 2007

- -

Dated this the 13th day of July, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

This writ petition is at the instance of the Registrar of Calicut University who has been served with Ext.P11 show cause notice. The grievance of the petitioner is that he has been given preciously little time to respond to the show cause notice. Initially he was granted 3 days. Later the time was enlarged by 7 more days. Still further, petitioner has not been given an opportunity to peruse the relevant files.

2. When this writ petition came up for consideration in the morning, learned Standing counsel submitted that the petitioner had been refusing to receive the file, though it was offered to him. But some time in the afternoon on July 12, 2007, petitioner had accepted the relevant files. However, learned counsel for the petitioner refuted the allegation that he had refused to accept the file .

3. Therefore learned counsel for the parties were directed to get further instruction in the matter from their respective clients.

4. When the matter has been taken up again, it is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he has in fact received the file. But several crucial papers are missing from the file. He has brought it to the notice of the Vice Chancellor who has requested him to give his complaint in writing. It is further submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he intends to address the Vice Chancellor in this regard and make a request for appropriate remedial action.

5. In the above facts and circumstances the writ petition is disposed of without going into the merit of any of the contentions raised by the petitioner. It will be open to the petitioner to get the relevant file after making a request to the Vice Chancellor. In the peculiar facts and circumstances, petitioner is granted 10 days time from today to respond to the show cause notice. Petitioner shall submit his response on or before July 21, 2007.

Writ Petition is closed in the above terms.

A.K. Basheer
Judge.

an.

He can also make a request to the Vice Chancellor to enlarge the time for submitting his response to Ext.P11 show cause notice.